

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16855 of 2016

Date of Decision:-20.01.2017.

Rajesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has questioned, the order dated 9.8.2016 (Annexure P-11). The State have filed their statement of objections in which they have enclosed an order dated 17.8.2016 Annexure R-1. In view of the said development in the present petition, challenge to Annexure P-11 do not survive for consideration. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 9.8.2016 he has been posted only on *ad hoc* basis and he has not been paid salary for certain period. If such grievance is taken up before the competent authority, the competent authority would decide the same, in accordance with law.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

January 20, 2017.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.