

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 13276 of 2017

Date of Decision : 02.06.2017

Amarjeet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners, who were working as ETT Teachers, are entitled for changing the date of option for the pay scale as similarly situated persons have been granted the benefit. He has drawn my attention to CWP No. 25339 of 2015, titled as ***Sukhdir Singh Sekhon and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 11.03.2016. He further contends that the petitioners shall be satisfied in case the respondents consider and decide the representation of the petitioners in the light of judgment in the case of ***Sukhdir Singh Sekhon and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (supra)*** in a time bound manner.

Issue notice to respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Avinit Avasthi, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Let, five sets of copies of paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

The petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to make a representation to respondent No. 2, who shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law in the light of judgment rendered in the case of *Sukhdir Singh Sekhon and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (supra)* within a period of three months from the date of its receipt. In case the petitioners are found entitled to relief in terms of the judgment in the case of *Sukhdir Singh Sekhon and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (supra)* , the necessary benefits shall also be released to them.

02.06.2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No