

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13139 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02.06.2017

Narinder Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Vs.

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.K. JAIN

Present: Mr.Jasbir Mor, Advocate, for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The Haryana Staff Selection Commission [for short 'the Commission'] vide its advertisement No.3/2016 dated 20.02.2016 offered 2426 posts of Shift Attendant (re-advertised) in the various categories. The process for selection was by way of written test followed by interview/*viva voce*. The petitioners have allegedly passed the written test but thereafter they did not appear before the Commission for the purpose of scrutiny of their documents, which was held allegedly between 5.5.2017 to 11.5.2017. The Commission keeping in view, difficulty having been faced by the persons like the petitioners, who remained absent, gave one more chance to the absentees for the purpose of scrutiny of their documents on 19.5.2017. The petitioners were absent on that date as well. The petitioners have now approached this Court for the purpose of directing the respondents to allow them to participate in the scrutiny of documents before the interview/*viva voce*.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners were under the *bona fide* belief that the Commission would

inform them through text message about the date of scrutiny of the documents and has referred to a text message sent by the Commission to petitioner No.1, which is appended as Annexure P-9. It is also submitted that the petitioners have cleared the written test and now because they did not appear for the purpose of scrutiny of their documents, they may not be debarred from appearing in the interview/*viva voce*.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and after examining the available record, am of the considered opinion that there is no merit in the present petition because insofar as Annexure P-9 is concerned, it is only an information given to petitioner No.1 about the downloading of admit card from the website. The scrutiny was held from 5.5.2017 to 11.5.2017 but the petitioners, for the reasons best known to them, did not appear for the purpose of scrutiny of their documents and in order to mitigate the hardship of such students, the Commission fixed another date i.e. 19.5.2017 for the same purpose but the petitioners remained absent on that date also. It appears that the petitioners have been very casual in their approach and therefore, they are not entitled for any relief.

Dismissed.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

02.06.2017

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*