IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

C.W.P. No.16675 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:16.08.2017

Vinay Kumar and others .....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others .....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate for
Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate for
the petitioners.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for
respondent No.4.

****

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

CM No.8501 of 2017

This application is filed by respondent No.4 to file reply.

Application is allowed. Reply filed on behalf of respondent No.4 is taken on record.

CWP No.16675 of 2016

Counsel appearing for the respondents submit that the entire amount of gratuity has been paid to the petitioners but counsel for the petitioners submits that interest has not been paid.

Counsel for the petitioners may make a representation to the respondents in regard to the payment of interest. If any such representation is made within a period of one month from the date of passing of this order, the respondents shall decide the same within a period of one month thereafter.

Renu
2017.08.18 10:23
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

With these observations, the present petition is disposed of.

August 16, 2017 **(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)**
renu **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No

Renu
2017.08.18 10:23
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh