

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20857 of 2013

Date of Decision : 01.05.2017

Narender Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sunil K. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, A.A.G., Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the action of the respondents in rejecting his candidature for the post of PGT Physics on the ground that requisite experience certificate furnished by him was discrepant in certain material particulars and consequently he could not have been given the benefit of one time exemption from having cleared the HTET examination.

The precise grievances of the petitioner are that, firstly there was no discrepancy in the certificate and, that one Yogita had submitted identically worded certificate and she had not only been considered but had been appointed also. On 10.05.2016 the following order was passed:-

the experience certificate (Annexure P-9) given to Yogita has been accepted and she has been appointed.”

Today the learned Assistant Advocate General has fairly accepted the fact that the experience certificate submitted by the aforesaid Yogita was identically worded as the experience certificate submitted by the petitioner and consequently there was no justification to treat both of them differently.

In view of the fair statement of the learned State Counsel, it has to be held that the candidature of the petitioner has been wrongly rejected. In the petition it has been further averred that 27 posts have remained vacant after the selection. This fact has not been denied by the learned State Counsel.

Resultantly, the petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for appointment to the post of PGT Physics after giving him the benefit of 4 years teaching experience and one time exemption of HTET examination. Let the necessary exercise be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 01, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No