

**139 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13083 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : June 02, 2017**

Anil Kumar Chauhan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was working as Deputy General Manager. During his service, an FIR was registered against him. He was suspended on 06.11.2015 and retired from service on 31.03.2017. However, neither the suspension allowance was not paid to him nor the other retiral benefits including provisional pension has been paid. The petitioner has already made representation dated 16.05.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would be satisfied if the respondent No.2-Managing Director is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 16.05.2017 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Managing Director to take a final decision on the representation of the petitioner dated 16.05.2017 (Annexure P-2) within two months from the

date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

Needless to say that if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to suspension allowance, other retiral benefits and provisional pension, the same shall be released to him forthwith along with interest as per government instructions.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

June 02, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No