

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13050 of 2017
Date of Decision: 06.07.2017

Sushma

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sat Narain Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State submits that the subject matter of this writ petition being selection to the post of an Assistant Professor, the recruiting agency is respondent no. 3, i.e. the Haryana Public Service Commission, which is not seen to be represented.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that even though in terms of the order by which notice was issued, the petitioner has not made it on merit in the SC category, however, as regards her claim to the post as the dependent of an ex-Serviceman (in the Scheduled Caste category), her candidature was rejected because her income was found to be more than Rs. 6,000/- per month and therefore, she was not found to be dependent in any manner.

He submits that as a matter of fact, the selected candidates, including Anil Kumar, Gurpreet Kaur, Namita, Om Parkash and Sujata Bhambu, all had incomes of more than Rs. 6,000/- per month at the time that they were selected, as

they were also working as Extension Lecturers just like the petitioner and further, the petitioner at the time that the application for the post in question was made, was not working as an Extension Lecturer, and therefore did not have an income of Rs. 6,000/-.

He further submits that the petition may be disposed with a direction to the respondent-Commission that upon the petitioner making a representation before it, giving the aforesaid facts, the said representation be decided on merits within a stipulated period.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty given to the petitioner.

If such a representation is made within 10 days by the petitioner, to the competent authority, i.e. the Haryana Public Service Commission, the same would be considered and decided by passing a speaking order, answering each of the issues raised by the petitioner in her representation. The speaking order would be passed within one month of the date of receipt of the representation.

July 06, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No