

**133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13027 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : June 02, 2017

Pyara Lal and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have preferred the present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to re-fix the pension in terms of the judgment rendered in CWP No.25733 of 2012, “*A.P. Sharma and others vs State of Punjab & others*”, decided on 22.10.2013 and CWP No. 2866 of 2014, “*Karanvir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*”, decided on 30.09.2016 and to release all the consequential benefits alongwith interest.

Brief facts of this case are that the petitioners are the pre 01.01.2006 retirees from different departments of Punjab Government. The petitioners aver that their claims are covered by the judgments passed in CWP No.25733 of 2012 titled as “*A.P. Sharma and others vs State of Punjab & Others* ” decided on 22.10.2013 and CWP No. 2866 of 2014, titled as “*Karanvir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*”, decided on 30.09.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction to the respondent No. 1 is given, to decide the representation of the petitioners dated 19.05.2016 (Annexure P-8) in view of the aforesaid judgments.

It is stated that vide order dated 04.05.2017, the Apex Court dismissed the SLP filed by the State against the Division Bench judgment of this Court in LPA No.352 of 2014, whereby challenge was laid to the judgment dated 22.10.2013 passed in *A.P. Sharma's case (supra)*.

In view of the matter, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to pass a speaking order on the representation of the petitioners dated 19.05.2016 (Annexure P-8), in view of the aforementioned judgments passed in *A.P. Sharma's* and *Karanvir Singh's cases (supra)* within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and if it is found that the claims of the petitioners are covered under the aforementioned judgments, necessary relief shall be granted to them within next three months from passing of the speaking order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

June 02, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No