

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13012 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.06.2017

Sat Pal & ors.

.... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana & anr.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Subhash Ahuja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Rajiv Narain Raina, J. (Oral)

Mr. Subhash Ahuja, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, submits that this matter is covered by the decision of this Court, text of which is placed at Annexures P-9 to P-11, on the question of stepping up of the petitioners' pay at par with the juniors, of rights which have been explained in CWP No. 11254 of 2010 (Neelam Rani v. The State of Haryana and others) decided on May 15, 2013. The petitioners are in service. They have served a legal notice, copy of which is at Annexure P-14, through their counsel on the second respondent, but he has not taken any decision thereon so far. Mr. Ahuja submits that in the circumstances, this Court may consider issuing a direction to the second respondent to consider passing a speaking order on the claims. The request is worthy of acceptance as it is found genuine in its demand for justice.

Therefore, a direction is issued to the second respondent to decide the legal notice (Annexure P-14) after hearing the petitioners in a

representative capacity of not more than three of them from amongst the array of parties, as of whom are best acquainted with the facts of the case and are duly authorized by the rest to represent them at the hearing before the officer. It is expected that the second respondent will faithfully implement the judgments of this Court relied upon by the petitioners which ex facie demonstrate a strong prima facie case in their favour since they are similarly situated to those who have already been granted the relief and if they are similarly situated, the second respondent would have no option but to implement and carry out the mandate of the judgments in conformity with equal opportunity mandates in Article 14 of the Constitution of India. Let the decision be taken within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the above observations and directions, this petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

02.06.2017
sonia

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No