

233

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16547 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 8.5.2017

Sukhdev Kaur and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for,
Mr. G.S. Samra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Anshul Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 4.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent No. 4 has put in appearance. The learned counsel for respondent No. 4 states that due to financial crunch, the payments could not be made. He seeks time to make the payments alongwith interest.

In view of the admitted position, the retiral benefits of the petitioners be paid to them within two months alongwith interest, as per the Government instructions.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No