

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 2386 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: January 20, 2017

Gurmail Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. SK Garg, Advocate, for
Mr. JPS Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution challenges to the denial of appointment to the post of Punjabi Master/Mistress in Punjabi subject under Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan. Both the petitioners herein applied under the physically handicapped (ortho) category and obtained 70.5 and 70.25 marks respectively. Aggrieved by the action of the respondents that they were not called for counselling, the instant writ petition has been filed.

Per contra, Mr. Anupam Singla, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submits that 5 posts for orthopedically handicapped were reserved for appointment to the post of Master/Mistress in Punjabi subject

under the aforesaid Scheme and out of these posts, all the posts have been filled up by the persons who have obtained higher merit than the petitioners. It is also submitted that for the third counselling, the cut off marks fixed were 70.75 in the aforesaid category and as the petitioners did not come within the merit in all the three counselling, they can not be appointed.

In view of the fact that the posts advertised have been filled up in accordance with merit, no interference is called for by this court. Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to point out any irregularity in the selection. Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed being devoid of any merit.

January 20, 2017
prem

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No