

132

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12956-2017

Date of decision : 02.06.2017

Sudhir

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Commissioner and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

It is also case of same kind of nature, where today itself, I have already directed the State of Punjab to deposit the litigation expenses of ₹ 25,000/- to the petitioner, who had availed the statutory remedy under the Local Acts by filing an appeal, which is not being addressed owing to the non-availability of the Officer.

In the instant case, the petitioner had filed the revision petition bearing ROR No.314 of 2017 and the first date of hearing of the same was 24.03.2017 given by the Administrative Staff of the Financial Commissioner, having the jurisdiction of District Rohtak, and thereafter, no date of hearing has been given and the petitioner despite having approached the authorities, has not been communicated next date of hearing. Though, the revision petition is accompanied by the interim application, owing to the fact that the objections to the *naksha kha* had been dismissed/rejected.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State/respondent(s) and assures this Court that the aforementioned revision petition along with the interim stay application, preferred by the petitioner, shall be heard and decided by the Officer, if not available, shall assign to other Officer competent to deal with the matter as expeditiously as possible.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to Addl. A.G., Haryana to inform the Chief Secretary, Haryana, to allocate the aforementioned ROR/interim to the competent Officer, who shall decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

The interim stay application filed with the revision petition be decided within a period of one week from the date of the receipt of the certified copy of this order.

Disposed of, accordingly.

02.06.2017

Yogesh Sharma

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

✓

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

✓

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**