

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12936 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : June 02, 2017**

Harnek Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. K.R. Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner retired from service on 30.09.2016 from Nagar Council, Faridkot as Inspector. Some of the retiral benefits has been released but remaining has not been released to him. The petitioner has already issued legal notice dated 10.05.2017 (Annexure P-3).

Short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondent Nos.2 and 3 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 10.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 10.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to retiral benefits, the same shall be released to him forthwith along with interest as per Government instructions.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

June 02, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No