

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(113)

**CWP-12910-2017**

**Decided on: June 02, 2017.**

**Sunil Kumar and others**

**.... Petitioners**

**Versus**

**The Transport Commissioner Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**

Present: Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

**M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)**

The grievance of the petitioners is that the request of the petitioners for grant of permit under new policy of 2016, is not being considered despite the fact that applications Annexure P-10 (colly.) dated 02.05.2017 had been submitted to R.T.O, Gurgaon.

Notice to Advocate General, Haryana.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice. Copy given.

Since no adverse order has been passed against the petitioners and the petitioners have got a remedy of appeal in case of rejection of their claim, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition, at this stage, with a direction to the Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Gurgaon to consider the application of the petitioners Annexure P-10 (colly.) expeditiously in accordance with the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)  
JUDGE**

**June 02, 2017**

*harsha*

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No