

CWP-12860-2017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12860-2017

Date of Decision: June 01, 2017

Gurdeep Singh & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the claim, which the petitioners have made in the present writ petition, is based upon the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.4685 of 1996, titled as 'Ram Singh & others Vs. State of Punjab & others', decided on 06.01.2012. He contends that the petitioners are entitled to the same benefit as was granted to the petitioners in *Ram Singh's* case (supra). Claiming the said benefit, petitioners have served a notice through counsel upon the respondents dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-6), but the same till date has not been considered and decided nor any benefit granted to them.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners as projected by them in their legal notice dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners within some specified time.

CWP-12860-2017

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, the present writ petition is disposed of without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, with directions to the Registrar, Punjabi University, Patiala-respondent No.3; the Director, Local Fund Accounts, Punjab-respondent No.4 and the Deputy Controller, (Local Audit), Punjabi University, Patiala-respondent No.5 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-6) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the claim of the petitioners is accepted, they shall be entitled to the consequential benefits restricted to 38 months prior to the date of filing of the writ petition i.e. 31.05.2017 and the same be released to them, in accordance with law, within a further period of one month. In case, the claim of the petitioners is not to be accepted, a well-reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to them forthwith.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

June 01, 2017
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No