

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16412 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-02.03.2017.

Atul Kumar Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Jawahar Lal Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

CM No.14608-CWP of 2016

CM for placing on record Annexures P-6 and P-7 is allowed subject to all just exceptions for the reasons stated in the application as well as affidavit.

Annexures P-6 and P-7 are taken on record.

CWP No.16412 of 2016

Having regard to the prayer made in the present petition it is very bald and vague so also legal notice issued to the respondents. Hence, the petition is liable to be rejected reserving liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the concerned authority seeking specific relief relating to remuneration for which period and so also travelling allowance during which period he has travelled and what is the amount so as to consider petitioner's representation by the competent authority in accordance with

law. If such representation is made to the concerned respondent, respondent may consider grievance of the petitioner within a reasonable period and communicate the decision to the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 02, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.