

**146 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12814 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : June 01, 2017

Shish Ram Punia

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner retired from CRPF on 01.09.2000. He was not granted the benefits of 2nd ACP. However, subsequently, on account of the directions of Delhi High Court, Instructions dated 28.04.2015 (Annexure P-2) were issued for grant of benefits of ACP as per terms and conditions mentioned therein. The petitioner fulfills all the terms and conditions of the said Instructions. The petitioner has already issued legal notice dated 14.12.2016 (Annexure P-1).

Short prayer made at this stage is to direct the respondents to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 14.12.2016 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 14.12.2016 (Annexure P-1) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in the light of Instructions dated 28.04.2015 (Annexure P-2).

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

June 01, 2017

sarita

SARITA RANI
2017.06.02 17:12
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No