

**145 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12810 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : June 01, 2017

Sh. Ram Chander

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner retired from CRPF on 31.12.2004. He was not granted the benefits of 2nd ACP. However, subsequently, on account of the directions of Delhi High Court, Instructions dated 28.04.2015 (Annexure P-2) were issued for grant of benefits of ACP as per terms and conditions mentioned therein. The petitioner fulfills all the terms and conditions of the said Instructions. The petitioner has already issued legal notice dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure P-1).

Short prayer made at this stage is to direct the respondents to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure P-1) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in the light of Instructions dated 28.04.2015 (Annexure P-2).

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

June 01, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No