

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.18005 of 2014
Date of Decision:18.08.2017**

Estate Officer Union Territory, Chandigarh Petitioner
Vs.
Inder Dev Gupta and another Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present:- Mr. Paminder Singh Kanwar, Advocate
 for the petitioner.
 Mr. Ashish Naik, Advocate for respondent
 No.1.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

This petition is filed against the order passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), Chandigarh by which an application filed by respondent No.1 under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority Act, 1987 (for short, 'the Act') has been allowed.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that against the order passed by the Administration, appeal is maintainable before the Director, Chandigarh Housing Board, in terms of Clause 17 of the Scheme. Consequently, the writ petition is allowed and the impugned order is hereby set aside and respondent No.1 is afforded an opportunity to challenge the said order by way of an appeal, in terms of the scheme. It is made clear that if the appeal is filed within a period of one month, after the receipt of certified copy of this order, the Appellate Authority shall not raise the objection of limitation and shall decide the appeal on merits.

August 18, 2017
renu

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE