

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12746 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:17.11.2017

Rattan Lal and others.

... Petitioners

Vs.

Union of India & Others.

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

C.M. No.10884-CWP-2017

Impleading of Additional Director General Border Road Organization, GREF, Campus, Sector-48, Chandigarh, may not necessary in view of later development that authorities have passed speaking order on 9.11.2017 relating to petitioner's grievance and the fact that civil writ petition do not survive. According, present civil miscellaneous application do not survive and it is disposed of.

Main case

Pursuant to the order dated 10.08.2017, competent authority has passed a speaking order dated 09.11.2017 on the petitioner's legal notice dated 27.03.2017.

Having regard to the prayer sought is only writ of mandamus and in view of the later development of passing speaking order, petition do not survive for consideration. If the petitioner's are aggrieved by the order dated 09.11.2017, they are at liberty to challenge the same at appropriate forum.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

17.11.2017
tarun/rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No