

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20462 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.12.2017

Dr. Nigam Sarup Bhalla and ors.

.... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. H.S.Thiara, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate
for respondent No.4 – UGC.

Mr. P.S.Khurana, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Daya Chaudhary, J(Oral)

As per case of the petitioners, similarly situated persons have been given the benefit and the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by judgment passed in ***CWP No.8366 of 1993*** titled as ***Sutantar Jot Singh vs. State of Punjab and others decided on 17.11.1993 (Annexure P-5).***

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the matter is under consideration and is likely to be decided within a period of four weeks.

Learned counsel for respondent No.5 submits that respondent No.5 has already recommended the case of the petitioners to the respondent-State.

The necessary exercise be done within a period of three weeks by considering the case of the petitioners at par with similarly situated persons as per judgment passed in Sutantar Jot Singh's case(supra). In

case any of the petitioners is found to be not entitled for the relief claimed,
speaking order be passed and conveyed to him.

With aforesaid directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

19.12.2017
sonia

(Daya Chaudhary)
Judge

Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No