

**162 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12688 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : June 01, 2017**

Gurcharan Singh Pannu and others **Petitioners**

Versus

The State of Punjab and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are working as Junior Engineers. They claim the benefits of judgment dated 20.05.2013 passed by this Court in CWP No.16446 of 2010, titled as *Jaswinder Singh Bedi and others v State of Punjab and others*”(Annexure P-6), which was affirmed in LPA No.244 of 2014, titled as “*State of Punjab and others v Jaswinder Singh Bedi and others*”, decided on 19.12.2014 (Annexure P-7). The petitioners have already issued legal notice dated 12.04.2017 (Annexure P-10).

The short prayer made at this stage is to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of aforesaid judgments dated 20.05.2013 and 19.12.2014 (Annexures P-6 and P-7) by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.1 to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the aforesaid judgments dated 20.05.2013 and 19.12.2014

(Annexures P-6 and P-7) and if the case of the petitioners is covered by the said judgments, they be given benefits, accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

June 01, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No