Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.06.01 15:52
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 12669 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 1.6.2017

Dr. (Mrs.) Sushma Sharma ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Punjab University, Sector-14, Chandigarh, and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate, for,
Mr. Bhupeshwar Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner retired from service on 31.12.2016. The retiral benefits have not been released so far, despite no chargesheet or inquiry is stated to be pending against the petitioner. The petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 17.4.2017 (Annexure-P-5) upon respondents. The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondents No. 2 and 3 to decide the said legal notice of the petitioner dated 17.4.2017 (Annexure-P-5) by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 17.4.2017 (Annexure-P-5) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and if there is no legal impediment in release of the retiral benefits, the same shall be released alongwith interest, as per the Government instructions.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.6.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No