

228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17119 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.05.2017

Visakha Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

General Manager, Vigilance and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Raj Paul Kansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate, for the respondents

M.M.S. BEDI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that it is apparent from Annexure P-4 that all the information required by the petitioner has already been supplied to him.

The grievance of the petitioner is that charge-sheet No.152 has not been supplied.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the charge-sheet No.152 was never issued to the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the controversy, in the interest of justice, this petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondents that except for charge-sheet No.152, any information required by the petitioner though already supplied to the petitioner, will be supplied to the petitioner again in case, he approaches the respondents on any working day within a period of 30 days from today.

In case, the petitioner is not satisfied, it will be open to him to approach competent authorities for redressal of his grievance.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

May 11, 2017
hemlata

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether reportable

Yes / No