

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Varinder Singh
2017.05.04 10:14
Attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court at
Chandigarh

CWP No. 1614 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 1.5.2017

Rajbir and others

.. Petitioners

VS

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal**
 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harinder Singh Sidhu

Present: Mr. H. S. Dhillon, Advocate for
 Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.
 Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
 Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate, for respondent no.6.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The petitioners have challenged the notification dated 8.7.2015, vide which respondent no.5 has been asked to sanction the mutation of the Panchayat/ Shamlat land in favour of the Municipal Corporation.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the issues raised in the present writ petition are squarely covered by larger Bench judgment of this Court in CWP No. 314 of 2001 Suraj Bhan and others vs State of Haryana and others, decided on 22.7.2016.

For the reasons mentioned in Suraj Bhan's case (supra), the present writ petition stands disposed of in the same terms.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

1.5.2017
VS

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No