

CWP No.178 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION:14.09.2017

Gajja Din

...Petitioner

Vs.

Punjab Wakf Board & another

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARYPresent: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate
for the respondents.**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the representation of the petitioner is pending consideration as the petitioner was not marked present, whereas he was working. He is claiming salary for that period.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been paid salary for the period he has worked but now order of recovery has been passed.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of two months. However, it is also directed that no recovery be effected from the salary of the petitioner for the period when he has actually worked.

The writ petition is disposed of.

However, in case the petitioner is aggrieved of the order

passed by the respondent-authority, he is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

14.09.2017
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
Whether reportable:	Yes/No