

CWP-12508-2017 (O&M)

1

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-12508-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 1.06.2017**

SANDEEP AND OTHERS

..... PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG,
Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply a copy
of the paper book during the course of the day.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana is not in a position to
deny that the petitioners are similarly situated as the petitioners in CWP-
10348-2017 and further states that this petition may be disposed of in the
same terms. She however states that the statement made by her in that civil
writ petition is being misconstrued as a concession.

In my opinion this apprehension is incorrect. On 23.5.2017 the
only statement made was that the State would examine the claims of all the
petitioners to ensure that nobody is presented with a fait accompli. In my

CWP-12508-2017 (O&M)

opinion, this cannot be construed to be a concession.

Resultantly, the present petition stands disposed of in the same terms as in **CWP-10348-2017**.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

01.06.2017
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No