

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 1242 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.2.2017

Balbir Chander Sobti Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The claim of the petitioner is that one and a half month gratuity has not been released to him. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matter has already been settled by this Court in CWP-15363-2011, titled as Nathu Ram and others Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 31.10.2014 (Annexure-P-6). The petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 16.5.2016 (Annexure-P-8) upon respondents, but to no avail. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he will be satisfied if at this stage, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 16.5.2016 (Annexure-P-8) of the petitioner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 16.5.2016

CWP No. 1242 of 2017 (O/M)

(Annexure-P-8) by passing a speaking order, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.2.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No