

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.215

Civil Writ Petition No. 17625 of 2014
DECIDED ON: February 17, 2017

Anju Bala

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Ms. Anu Chatrath Kapoor, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition preferred by the petitioner has challenged the action taken by the respondents in ending her contractual appointment. As per affidavit filed by learned State counsel today, it is not beyond the pale of any controversy that services of the petitioner were dispensed with because a newly selected regular employee had joined.

Learned Senior counsel has fairly stated that in this view of the matter, the petitioner may not have any claim to that particular post but as per law whenever a vacancy on contractual basis arises the petitioner having been earlier worked on contract basis would have a preferential right, learned Additional Advocate General and therefore, the petitioner should be considered for any vacancy which may be advertised which is being filled up on contract basis.

Civil Writ Petition No. 17625 of 2014

Learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana has also failed
to accept this proposition of law.

Disposed of with aforesaid.

February 17, 2017
Ankur

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No