

150

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12387-2017

Date of decision : 31.05.2017

Lakhvir Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance of the petitioner, in the present writ petition, is against the respondent(s) of not filling up the 100 posts of Peon (field) under Disabled Locomotive Category, and in this regard, he had made a representation. Though the matter of identical nature is pending for adjudication, but he shall submit a detailed representation for consideration of the matter.

In view of the aforesaid statement, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to submit the detailed representation and in case, such representation is made, respondent Nos.2 and 3 shall consider the same and take a decision thereon after affording the opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, much less, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months.

In case the petitioner is found to be entitled for the
aforementioned post, he shall be issued appointment letter.

Disposed of, accordingly.

31.05.2017
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No