

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

128

CWP-12360-2017
Decided on 31.05.2017

DHARMPAL AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(Oral)

By this petition the petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to the respondents to fix their pay correctly taking into the consideration the pay of their similarly situated employee who have been granted the benefit of Rule 8 of the revised pay rule but the petitioners have not been granted the benefit of same rule.

To support the aforesaid claim, the learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon the judgment of this Court in the matter of Neelam Rani vs. State of Hayana and others, passed in CWP No. 11254 of 2010 decided on 15.05.2013. Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that in this connection the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 06.05.2017 (Annexure P-7) to the respondents and at this stage they would be satisfied if this legal notice is decided by the respondent No.2 within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair submission. Keeping in view the rights portrayed, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice of the petitioners and pass a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Ordered accordingly.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stand disposed of.

31.05.2017
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No