

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1235 of 2017
Date of decision : 01.02.2017

Pritam Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Appeals) Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Paramjit Rajput, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the impugned orders, whereby respondent No.4 has been appointed as Lamberdar.

Grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that she is 7th class pass and a housewife and has been contributing in social activities of Village, whereas respondent No.4 though having high qualification being a Postgraduate and younger in age but is the Press Reporter of News Agency namely "Ajit".

This Court called upon learned counsel for the petitioner as to whether any material or an evidence in this regard has been placed on record, answer was in negative. Mere assertion in the plaint or in the appeal would not suffice the requirement of law. It has to be substantiated by the documentary evidence. It does not lie in the mouth of the petitioner to urge that being Reporter and doing additional work, his availability would be

seldom in the village is not tenable. Even otherwise, respondent No.4 had been appointed on the recommendations of Assistant Collector, Ist Grade.

I do not intend to differ with the aforementioned findings.

No ground for interference is made out.

Accordingly, present writ petition is dismissed.

01.02.2017

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No