

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3584 of 2011

Date of Decision:18.04.2017

Ranbir Singh and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

The Chief Engineer and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. S.N. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate for respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

The petitioner has sought for the following relief:

- “a) Issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to promote the petitioners on the post of lineman from the date of other similar situated persons mention in the petition.*
- b) Further issue a writ of mandamus directing to respondents to revise the pay scale of the petitioners and released the other service benefit the date of revised promotion.”*

As on the date of presenting this petition, the petitioners were already promoted to the post of Lineman. Their grievance should have been for next promotional cadre Assistant Foreman. Even though the petitioners were promoted to the post of Lineman, however, their names have not been reflected in the tentative seniority list of Lineman vide Annexure P-6. For the purpose of promotion to the post of Assistant Foreman, seniority in respect of source cadre of Lineman is required to be finalized. It has not attained finality.

If the petitioners make representations to the concerned authority to include their names in the seniority list of Lineman, Concerned

respondent is directed to take necessary action to incorporate the names of the petitioners in the seniority list of Lineman, if they are otherwise eligible in the cadre of Lineman and thereafter consider their grievance relating to promotion to the post of Assistant Foreman on par with juniors, if the petitioners made out a case.

With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

18.04.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**