

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

CWP No. 1227 of 2017

Date of decision: 18.4.2017

Inder Singh

Petitioner

vs.

Food Corporation of India and others

Respondent

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate.

M.M.S.BEDI,J.

The petitioner seeks quashing of order dated 12.7.2016 (Annexure P-2) whereby respondent No.5 has been authorized as labour contractor at Rail Head, Jind claiming that it falls under the prohibited area as per Notification of Haryana Govt. Dated 29.11.1985 (Annexure P-4).

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, it is apparent that the petitioner is aggrieved by order dated 12.7.2016 (Annexure P-2), which is apparently an order of grant of licence to a contractor- respondent No.5. Being an aggrieved person, the statutory remedy under Section 15 of the Contract Labour Regulation and Abolition Act, 1970 (for short 'the Act') is available to the petitioner. An alliterative efficacious remedy being available to the petitioner, the writ petition is not maintainable, as such the same is **dismissed**, relegating the petitioner to the alternative remedy. The provisions of Section 15 of the Act enables the Appellate Authority to entertain the appeal after the expiry of the period. The petitioner may avail the alternative remedy by filing an appeal along with an application for condonation of delay. The petitioner may take advantage of Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

April 18 ,2017
TSM

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No