

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

207

Civil Writ Petition No.12246 of 2017
Date of Decision: August 31, 2017

Rakesh Kumar Asht ...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Addl. A.G., Haryana.
Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate for respondent No.4.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The challenge in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is to order dated 14.02.2017 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Lokayukta, Haryana, Chandigarh (Respondent No.3) vide which the complaint filed by the petitioner was closed.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file an FIR or criminal complaint in respect of the prayers made in the writ petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 31, 2017
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No