

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1223 of 2017
Date of decision:02.02.2017

Sukhdev Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The petitioner has sought the following relief:-

“Civil writ petition under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, direction or order for directing the respondent no.1 to 4 to get demarcate the plot and also identify the boundaries of the plot bearing no.56/1, measuring 22 sq. yards, hadbast no.103 situated in village Kotala Dhana, Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana allotted to the petitioner vide Conveyance deed dated 12.10.1976 (Annexure P-1) issued by respondent no.4 with specific boundaries and hand over the possession of the plot as per Conveyance Deed to the petitioner;

AND

Further direction may also be issued to the respondent no.2 to

take appropriate action on the representation on the representation dated 19.08.2016 (Annexure P-10) filed by the petitioner for demarcation of the plot allotted to the petitioner vide conveyance deed dated 12.10.1976;

AND

Any other appropriate, writ, direction or order to which the petitioner is entitled may also be passed with all consequential and relief's."

It is a disputed question of fact and law, cannot be adjudicated in the present writ petition. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail remedy under Section 9 of the Code of Civil Procedure as he has to get demarcation by leading direct and cogent evidence.

The writ petition stands disposed of, in the aforementioned terms.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 02, 2017
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No