

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12223 of 2017
Date of decision : 31.05.2017

Maman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking direction to the official respondents to remove the illegally constructed shops from the 30 feet wide passage going from Railway Road to Subzi Mandi, due to which there is no passage for ingress and egress and further directing them to take action on the application dated 20.03.2017 (Annexure P-3) by passing a well reasoned and speaking order within some specified time.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner submits that representation (Annexure P-3) submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Jind for removal of the encroachment in the area of Safidon, has not been decided so far and the factum of the receipt of the representation is evident from the serial number put at the bottom of the aforementioned representation.

In view of such fact, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition by issuing direction to the respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Jind to decide and consider the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner after affording opportunities of hearing, much less, in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

31.05.2017

Pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No