

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12192 of 2017

Date of Decision : 30.05.2017

Kanwal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Deepak Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel states that against the order of non-retention beyond the age of 55 years the petitioner has moved a representation (Annexure P-9) (wrongly mentioned as appeal in the index) and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.3 to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair submission. Consequently, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to decide the said representation of the petitioner by giving reasons within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is made clear that in case it is found that the petitioner is entitled to the relief claimed and to therefore continue beyond the age of 55 years, the respondents would not be permitted to deny pay and allowances only on the ground that he had not worked on the said post.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc.
Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 30, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No