

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12167 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.07.2017

Jog Raj and others

....Petitioners

Vs.

Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.NPS Mann, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr.Sherry K. Singla, Advocate,
for the caveator/respondents.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The only grievance of the petitioners is that in the partition proceedings, land falling in mustatil No.85//6/1 and 11/2 has been given to them in *sanad taksim*, which are constructed khasra numbers and the construction belongs to the respondents herein.

Learned counsel for the caveator/respondents, on instructions from respondent No.8-Baam Dev son of Data Ram has stated at the Bar that firstly there is no construction on mustatil No.85//6/1 and 11/2 and in case there is any construction then it belongs to the petitioners and not the respondents. It is also submitted that the respondents shall have no objection if there is any construction, in case it belongs to the respondents, as stated by the petitioners, is given to the petitioner.

In view of the statement made by counsel for the caveator/respondents, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

10.07.2017

Vivek Pahwa
2017.07.13 10:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No