

217-1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-16647-2015

Date of decision : 11.09.2017

Vijender Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Ms. Atamjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Vaibhav Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

In this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has *inter alia* prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus*, directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 to implement Sports Policy, 2009 (Annexure P-2) as well as Notification dated 15.07.2014 (Annexure P-3), which states that all the Departments of State of Haryana recruiting employees under Sports Quota, should use their services primarily for the promotion of Sports. Further, a writ of *mandamus* has been sought directing the respondents to grant benefit of extraordinary leave for 12 months to the petitioner in terms of Rule 8.121 read with Rule 8.137 of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume-I Chapter-I (as applicable to the State of Haryana) to go to England to compete in professional boxing-fights in terms and spirit of Notification dated 15.07.2014 (Annexure P-3) as well

as Sports Policy 2009 (Annexure P-2) issued by the Department of Sports & Youth Affairs, State of Haryana.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the State submitted that since the permission had been granted to the petitioner and he had participated in terms thereof, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no objection.

4. Ordered accordingly.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

11.09.2017
Yogesh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**