

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.12119 of 2017
Date of Decision: May 30, 2017

Amar Singh Sidhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Rajesh K.Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The contention of Mr.Rajesh K.Dadwal, learned counsel for the petitioner is that with regard to mutation bearing No.10264 of Village Sudhar, Tehsil Raikot, District Ludhiana, the matter has already been decided by the Civil Court vide judgment and decree dated 28.5.2008 and the same has attained finality. The Commissioner, vide order dated 5.12.2013 (Annexure P-1), had remanded the matter back to the Tehsildar, but the same is pending since then and no action has been taken. The petitioner is, thus, left in lurch.

In view of the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, I dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to the Tehsildar Raikot-respondent No.3 to decide the mutation proceedings in pursuance to the order passed by the Commissioner as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt

of certified copy of this order.

Learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab is directed to intimate the passing of the order by this Court to the concerned official for compliance.

May 30, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No