

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP- 15678-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.06.2017

Kirna Devi

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Ashdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms.Sheenu Sura, Advocate for the respondent no.1
Mr.Sumit Gupta, Advocate for the respondent nos.2 & 3

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Heard.

It comes out that Subhash Chand died leaving behind the petitioner, two minor children from the first marriage as well as mother Bhukri Devi – respondent no.4 as legal heirs. Now, the dispute has arisen regarding release of his retiral benefits.

Learned counsel for respondent states that as per Rule 4 of Family Pension Scheme, 1964, the family pension is to go to the wife and two minor children. However, regarding the remaining retiral benefits, the mother is also class I heir and she is also entitled to get share along with the petitioner and her two minor children. Respondents are accordingly directed to process the claim as per the Rules and release the same.

Regarding claim of the petitioner regarding job on compassionate grounds,

the respondents are directed to process the case as per existing policy and instructions and decide the same.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

01.06.2017

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No