

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.12098 of 2017
Date of Decision: May 30, 2017

Sukhjot Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Deepak Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The contention of Mr.Deepak Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner is that against the order dated 8.2.2017 (Annexure P-3) rendered by the Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar with regard to the filling up the post of Lambardar, the petitioner has preferred an appeal dated 21.3.2017 (Annexure P-4) before the Financial Commissioner, Punjab accompanied by an application for stay, but the same has not been taken up for consideration as he has been given additional charge of PSPCL, Patiala and, therefore, is not attending the Court regularly, thus, the petitioner and the similarly situated persons are being unnecessarily prejudiced and harassed.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Yatinder Sharma, learned Addl.A.G.Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that new district has been allocated to the Financial Commissioner.

Be that as it may, the fact remains that the petitioner in view of such circumstances, cannot be rendered remediless for addressing the argument on the interim application. I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to the Financial Commissioner, Punjab to decide the application for stay moved along with ROA No.20 of 2017 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

May 30, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No