

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12092-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.05.2017

Virender Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

General Manager, Canara Bank

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Ravinder Dahiya, Advocate for
Mr.Devinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner claims that he applied for the post of Probationary Officer with the respondent bank in March 2012. As a result of the written examination, he was called for the interview on 14.1.2013 for the post of Probationary Officer. A letter dated 31.8.2013 (Annexure P4) was issued to the petitioner vide which candidature for the post was not processed for want of some documents. Petitioner does not claim that he has supplied those documents. He merely claims that he has submitted a representation on 15.2.2017 (Annexure P5) , which is not being decided and that he is not being appointed as a Probationary Officer.

I am of the view that the letter dated 31.8.2013 (Annexure P4) clearly states that the candidature of the petitioner cannot be processed for want of two documents. The petitioner does not claim that he has supplied

those documents. Now little less than four years have elapsed. Therefore, the petitioner cannot claim that he should be allowed to join the post of Probationary Officer assuming that he has been selected and appointed.

There are no merits in he present writ petition. The same is dismissed accordingly.

30.05.2017
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No