

CWP No.12013 of 2017

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12013 of 2017
Date of decision:26.05.2017**

Abhishek Dhillon

...Petitioner

Versus

Panjab University and another

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.

The petitioner got himself enrolled in B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) 5 Years Integrated Course in the session 2016-17, cleared all papers of the 1st semester and was promoted to 2nd semester in June, 2017. As per the Rules regulating admission and promotion to B.A./B.Com. LL.B. (Hons.) 5 Years Integrated Course (1-10 semesters) [hereinafter referred to as the "Rules"], a student would be eligible to appear in the semester examinations if he/she has attended at least 75% of the lectures/special lectures/tutorials/moots/visits to court or other institutions etc. as may be delivered or arranged during the semester. It is also provided in Rule 2(d) of the Rules that "*no condonation shall be allowed in case a candidate has attended less than 33% of lectures delivered for each paper/subject/moot/special lecture*".

The petitioner has been detained because he was not meeting the requirement of having attended minimum 33% lectures. However, it is argued that the petitioner had participated in the Tricity Diplomacy Summit from 14th -

15th January, 2017, organized the Panjab University Mock All Indian Political Parties Meet 2017 from January 21-22, 2017 as Director General, participated in the Debate Competition-Avant Grade 2017 on 21st February, 2017 and also organized the Panjab University Model United Nations 2017 from April 29-30, 2017 as Event Coordinator. It is submitted that the petitioner is entitled to the lectures on account of participation in the aforesaid activities and if the said lectures are given to the petitioner, then he would cross the barrier of having attended minimum 33% lectures.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and examining the available record, I am of the considered opinion that the grant of lectures for the aforesaid activities would depend upon completion of at least 33% lectures by the petitioner because it has been specifically provided in Rule 2(d) of the Rules that there would be no condonation of shortage of lectures if the candidate has not attended at least 33% lectures delivered for each paper. Even otherwise, in the case of **Yash Bhargava vs. Panjab University and others**, CWP No.11697 of 2017, decided on 25.05.2017, similar view has been taken by this Court.

In view thereof, I do not find any merit in the present writ petition and hence, the same is hereby dismissed.

May 26, 2017
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No