

**143 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12011 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 26, 2017

Ravinder Kumar Kaushal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner wants to change his option in view of instructions dated 24.01.2011 (Annexure P-6). He also seeks the benefit of judgment dated 05.10.2015 (Annexure P-13), passed in CWP No.21250 of 2015 titled as “*Dr.Rakesh Sharma vs. State of Punjab and others*”, qua the similarly situated employees.

Petitioner has already made a representation dated 28.04.2017 (Annexure P-16) to the District Ayurvaidik and Unani Officer, Hoshiarpur.

The short prayer made at this stage is that the present petition may be disposed of with directions to the respondents to decide the representation dated 28.04.2017 (Annexure P-16) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent no.3 to consider the representation dated 28.04.2017 (Annexure

P-16) and decide the same by passing a speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, after considering the case of the similarly situated employees and the judgment dated 05.10.2015 (Annexure P-13) attached with the writ petition.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 26, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No