

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17230 of 2014
Date of Decision: 04.07.2017

Gurinderjit Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

Financial Commissioner, Revenue, Punjab, Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Rishu Mahajan, Advocate, for
Mr.Vikas Mehsempuri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Deepali Puri, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

There is no appearance of the petitioner's counsel from
28.1.2016 to 5.10.2016 and on 06.03.2017, following order was passed: -

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks
short accommodation to address arguments.*

*Last orders also reveal that on many
occasions, adjournment was sought.*

*Adjourned to 4.7.2017, subject to the cost of
₹5000/- to be deposited in Leprosy School,*

Sector-47, Chandigarh.

Last opportunity is granted.”

Today also learned counsel for the petitioner, who had filed this
petition, is absent and a request for an adjournment is made by proxy
counsel on the ground that arguing counsel is unwell.

Be that as it may, the case cannot be adjourned just at the asking of the petitioner and hence, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

04.07.2017

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*