

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1200 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 23rd January, 2017**

Ritu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred this petition for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to scrutinize the documents of the petitioner and included her in the selection process including interview etc. for PGT Mathematics.

The petitioner had applied for the post of PGT Mathematics but she could not appear on the date fixed for scrutiny of documents.

The precise grievance of the petitioner is that since she is a meritorious candidate and have good academic record, she should also be allowed to do the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in this context the petitioner has filed application (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 and prays that the petitioner would be satisfied if respondent No.2 is directed to decide the said application expeditiously by passing speaking order thereon.

CWP No.1200 of 2017 (O&M)

-2-

I find it to be a fair request.

In these circumstances, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said application by passing a speaking order within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

A copy of this order be given dasti to the learned counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of Bench Secretary.

23rd January, 2017

mamta

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No