

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11982-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.05..2017

Neelam Goyal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.P.S.Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner retired from service on 30.11.2016. It is stated that the College was previously run by the Municipal Council Kotkapura and was subsequently taken over by the Government of Punjab on 12.5.1997. It is stated that the service of petitioner rendered while the College was run by the Municipal Council, Kotkapura has not been counted for the purpose of retiral benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the controversy has already been decided by this Court vide judgment dated 23.8.2011 in *CWP No.19214 of 2009* titled as *Darshan Singh vs. State of Punjab and others*. (Annexure P2) Petitioner has already issued a legal notice dated 9.1.2017 (Annexure P1). The short prayer made at this stage is to direct the respondent nos. 1 to 3 to consider the legal notice and decide the same in accordance with law.

In these circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of

with directions to respondent nos.1 to 3 to consider the case of the petitioner in view of the judgment passed by this Court in Darshan Singh (supra) and dispose of the legal notice dated 9.1.2017 (Annexure P1) by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

26.05.2017

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No