

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1198 of 2017
Date of Decision:-23.01.2017.

HC Gurjeet Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. K.S. Banyana, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioners have questioned the validity of the final seniority list of Head Constables vide Annexure P-9. Perusal of the writ papers, it is evident that the petitioners have not arrayed the likely to be affected Head Constables whose names are reflected in the seniority list vide Annexure P-9. One of the contention of the petitioners is that they had approached the competent authority to rectify the provisional seniority list vide Annexure P-7. The same has not been considered. It was further submitted that the seniority list is being operated for the purpose of promotion. In the absence of impleading the Head Constables whose names are reflected in Annexure P-9, the petition is not maintainable. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the petition reserving liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition. Liberty is granted.

communicate the decision taken by him/her in respect of objections to the provisional seniority list filed by the petitioners when it was considered and finalized within a period of one month from today.

Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

January 23, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.